Defense Enterprise Data Standards Office (DEDSO) Meeting Notes

PQDR

Date: February 19, 2025

I. PURPOSE.

The Defense Enterprise Data Standards Office (DEDSO) received formal concurrence from the Deputy Assistant Secretary of Defense for Logistics on ADC 1443 Product Quality Deficiency Report (PQDR) Policy Migration. ADC 1443 was signed on 27 January 2023 and this ADC serves to inform the Department of Defense (DoD) Components that the Defense Logistics Manual (DLM) 4000.25, Volume 2, Chapter 24, is replacing Joint Service Regulation Defense Logistics Agency Regulation (JSR DLAR) 4155.24/AR 702-7/SECNAVINST 4855.21/AFI 21-115/DCMA INST 1102 as the DoD's authoritative source for PQDR-related guidance.

II. ATTENDEES.

Component	Name (Primary)		Name (Alternate)		Name	
ODASD(LOG)	\boxtimes	Jeremy Amason		Patrick O'Connell		
DEDSO	×	Mark Rockwell		Steve Nace	×	Preston Gill
Defense Logistics Agency	\boxtimes	Jamie Goodrick		Jose Pereira		
U.S. Army	\boxtimes	Marc Saperstein	×	Mythanh Nguyen		
U.S. Marine Corps	\boxtimes	CWO5 Pierce		Major Arielle Irsik	×	Ted Wiechman
U.S. Navy		Jared Trinkaus	\boxtimes	Krystal Abreu		
U.S. Air Force		John Wright	×	Thomas Patterson		
Defense Contract Management Agency		Chris Ford		Jeff Church		

III. AGENDA ITEMS.

1. Annual PQDR PRC Appointment Letters:

Mark Rockwell, DEDSO PQDR Administrator, reviewed the requirements for updated appointment letters in anticipation of component Process Review Committee (PRC) committee member transitions, in particular U.S. Navy and U.S. Marine Corps.

2. <u>Approved Defense Logistics Management Standards (DLMS) Change (ADC) 1443B PQDR Policy Migration:</u>

DEDSO briefed the approved status of ADC 1443B and commented on the quick turnaround being attributed to the quality of the finished product. Mark then outlined the goals and schedule for Proposed DLMS Change (PDC) 1443C using the recently approved version as a baseline for full committee collaboration. The next iteration focuses on deferred formal comments, transportation charges, and development of time standards. Although the ADC was promulgated and posted to the website, DEDSO encouraged and reminded any interested parties desiring a copy to reach out to their primary and alternate representatives.

DEDSO provided further guidance that the protocol for PQDR policy remains DLMS Chapter 24, then the DLAR for any exceptions not covered. Mark emphasized that the intent was not to copy and paste from the DLAR verbatim, but to capture key concepts and transactions, then update and synopsize to bring them over as a modernized version.

3. ADC 1007E PQDR Policy Migration Technical Team Meetings:

Mark reviewed the component implementation quad chart requirement and provided his observations from the technical team deep dive into ADC 1007E. Mark stated that funding is in place, and the pace of reviewing the extensive document is improving due to the increased collaboration. DEDSO intends to continue to consolidate all the required changes into a Memorandum for Record (MFR) or PDC/ADC to continue with implementation.

Mark queried the committee on any impacts for a scheduled system blackout date change. It was determined that not all systems were ready for earlier implementation and the U.S. Department of the Navy's Product Data Reporting and Evaluation Program (PDREP) stated that testing would continue with the target implementation date of February 2026.

4. Open Joint Deficiency Reporting System (JDRS) originated PQDRs:

Mark Rockwell introduced Elizabeth (Libby) Woodbury Product Data Reporting and Evaluation Program (PDREP) - PQDR Functional Lead, to discuss the approximately 9,500 currently open Report Control Numbers.

PDREP noted that there is a potential visibility issue if these are not managed prior to migrating to Defense Logistics Manual (DLMs). Guidance is sought on the disposition of these items, some dating back to 2004, as they would not be brought over when transitioning to the new system.

Committee discussions focused on the need for components to have access to this updated list for review and reconciliation to provide PDREP the authorization to move forward.

Mark inquired if there were any interim procedural actions to be taken to prevent the list from growing. It was determined that it was likely a training issue to identify the disconnects for accurate notifications to prevent premature transactional responses impacting proper closure.

Defense Enterprise Data Standards Office (DEDSO) Meeting Notes

Examples were cited indicating that most of the transactions were rejected back to JDRS during import because the final reply was sent before the record was at the correct location for record closing, which created this out-of-sequence condition. Records were being closed in one information technology system (JDRS), but remaining open in PDREP as a result. It was noted that 842P implementation would fix this problem.

In response, PDREP provided an updated list of current open items to components for them to resend transactions for proper closing. PDREP does send out rejection notices, but does not have visibility of receipt by the record holders to allow them to manage transactions. This will be a topic for further consideration.

5. Save-the-Date:

a. DLMS Summit:

DEDSO continued to socialize the upcoming summit and informed the committee that a full formal approved agenda would be forthcoming.

IV. ACTIVITIES AND NEWSLETTER.

DEDSO monthly updates and activities for the DLMS Community are located on the <u>DLA</u> website.

V. ADDITIONAL DEDSO SUPPLY DISCREPANCY REPORTING GENERAL COMMUNICATION.

Do you have a question, hot topic, or a PQDR-related update? If so, we encourage you to email us at DEDSO.PQDR@dla.mil.

The meeting agenda and notes will be made available via the DEDSO PQDR COMMITTEE <u>TEAMS link</u>.